

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090006-4

MEMORANDUM FOR: Colonel White

~~Mr. Helms~~

Recently several students at Brooklyn College indicated to our recruiter that when he returns to Brooklyn the first of March 1967 the students would be prepared to offer a counter-demonstration for CIA and against the pickets. This same sense of reaction could occur at most any university and it is felt proper to send some guidance to the field recruiters. Mr. [] and I feel that any pro-CIA demonstrations would attract an undue amount of attention and it would be charged that they were instigated by the Agency and in the long run

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090006-4

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090006-4
MEMORANDUM FOR:

Attached is our proposed guidance to the field
recruiters to avoid such situations. Request your
approval.

*The principle
in your memo
is off C's CB
on this*


K. L. Bannerman

6 Dec. 66

(DATE)

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090006-4

STAT

D - R - A - F - T

MEMORANDUM FOR: All Recruiters

SUBJECT : Possibility of Pro-CIA Demonstrations

1. Senior officials are justifiably concerned with the rumored possibilities of pro-CIA demonstrations as part of the backlash to the mounting number of anti-CIA Recruitment demonstrations being experienced this Fall.

2. We have been told that a pro-CIA student group is planning to out-demonstrate the Student Leftist groups when our recruiter returns to Brooklyn College on 14 March 1967. It is the Agency's concern that such action might be attributed by the press to the Agency. Accordingly, as Agency representatives, it is imperative that you do or say nothing which could be interpreted as CIA encouragement or incitement of any counter demonstrating group. At the most, should you be approached by anyone proposing pro-CIA counter demonstrations, you should express your opinion, in a quiet way, that such demonstrations may hinder rather than help our recruitment activities on campuses.

STAT 3. [] has given you excellent policy guidance on the handling of anti-CIA campus demonstrations. Some of these have been rather mild, of course, but at Columbia University, for example, some three hundred students participated in a well-organized, apparently leftist-directed campaign demanding a confrontation with the Agency's representative. This

D - R - A - F - T

D - R - A - F - T

confrontation demand technique was employed again this week at the University of California at Davis. Certainly, you cannot afford to surrender to the confrontation demands of either anti- or pro-CIA student group leaders. Your role is recruiting and confrontation should be avoided if possible.

The Assistant to the Director (Mr. [redacted]) will speak for the Agency, if and when ~~he deems~~ ^{it appears} it appropriate, in any confrontation context.

STAT

4. The possibility of pro-CIA demonstrations is somewhat implied in the very pro-CIA column by John Chamberlain, Washington Post, 25 November. You are being furnished copies of this column. Beyond the guidance set forth above, it is difficult to provide you with definitive instructions for avoiding involvement in potential pro-CIA political action movements, should they materialize. It is even difficult, in fact, to visualize any well-organized student political activity that is "for" anything. As we know, the real organizers are in the "against" category. Be this as it may, I would imagine that any pro-CIA student group desiring to demonstrate ad hoc might seek out the Placement Director and, through him, make you the object of its affection. It is in this situation, then, that you would take a very positive and guarded posture to have the word go back to the students that in your considered judgment it would do considerably more harm than good in that it would simply serve to fan the fires ignited by the minority fringe groups.

[redacted]
Deputy Director of Personnel
for
Recruitment and Placement

STAT

D - R - A - F - T

These Days By John Chamberlain

Pattern of the New Left

THE CONTAGION that the New Left has assumed its pattern for the 1966-67 college year. For the moment, "teach-ins" are out. The tactic now is to round up the stooges on campus and march them out to assail any "militarist" connected with the CIA. Chamberlain or the Pentagon who happens to be within shouting distance of university lecture halls.

Thus, at Harvard, the kids who go for the New Left's own type of conformism tried to "savagely" Secretary of Defense McNamara during his visit to Cambridge. There was a similar gang-up on Gen. Earle Wheeler, chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, when he spoke in Pembroke Auditorium at Brown University in Providence, R.I. At Columbia, in New York City, 200 angry student doves unleashed their incongruous claws and went for a CIA recruiter, Harry Russell, who had come to interview undergraduate aspirants to careers in the intelligence service.

The whole business has been very unmannerly in a completely patterned way. It lends force to the reflection that nobody on the New Left ever does anything that is the least original; the kids have to huddle for their signals just like Rotarians or the Loyal Order of Moose in a Sinclair Lewis novel.

THE TIP-OFF to the 1966-67 drive of the conforming New Leftist non-conformists came last summer when a dilatory student, working in cahoots with Ramparts magazine, in-

formed the world that the course listed as Political Science 551 in the University of Pennsylvania catalogue was "a course for spies." It so happens that "Poli Sci 551" at Pennsylvania is taught by a unique husband-wife team, Professors George and Charlotte Dyer, who worked together throughout World War II and the Korean War doing intelligence work for the U.S. Army. The official name of the course is "Strategic Intelligence and National Policy," and it has been in the Penn catalogue for 10 years.

The course has been an absolutely open one in a free university for which, as Professor Dyer points out, students register under their own names. If this is the way American spies are made, we may be sure that the Soviet Union has entered some of its own supporters in the Dyers' course.

Some years ago I happened to sit in for a session of the Dyers' class. The partners in this husband-wife team were about as far from being James Bond characters as you could imagine.

The assumption behind the course seemed to be that nations, if they hope to remain free, must have accurate knowledge about the strengths and weaknesses, the capacities and intentions, of their most likely enemies.

What the Dyers were trying to do was to teach students how to piece together available information about a possible enemy into a mosaic that would be of some use to both political and military policy makers.

In short, they were teaching how to use one's brains, which is surely a university function. And, partly to rescue the course from dryness, partly to teach the students something about the nature of the violent century in which we have been living, the Dyers held occasional military-political field maneuvers jointly with the ROTC, re-enacting such things as the destruction of the Warsaw ghetto or a battle in the Mekong Delta. Participation

in the field maneuvers was voluntary. It was also fun.

RAMPARTS, hearing about the Dyers' course and other "militarist" activities at Penn, proceeded to jump all over the university. Since Ramparts is the house organ of the New Left, a student in the Dyers' course, trying to piece "intelligence" together, might logically conclude that the signal had gone out to "get" any university activity that stems from the philosopher Spinoza's belief that the first duty of a state is to maintain itself in freedom.

The events of the autumn, with the rude and crude attempts of the New Left to block the free speech of Secretary McNamara at Harvard, Gen. Wheeler at Brown, and CIA recruiter Russell at Columbia, show that some sort of Leftist concert exists.

It takes training in the Dyers' approach to things to see how this pattern fits in with the Communists' drive to force America to abandon its friends in South Vietnam and elsewhere.

What this country needs, then, is a course in "Strategic Intelligence and National Policy" in every university in the land. Too bad we don't have more Dyers.

© 1966, King Features Syndicate, Inc.

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090006-4

Approved For Release 2003/05/27 : CIA-RDP84-00780R001400090006-4